Patent 231/003

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:))	/
Thomas J. Kipps et al.)) Group Art Unit: 1642	/
Serial No.: 08/982,272) Examiner: P. Gambel	/2
Filed: December 1, 1997)	
For: NOVEL EXPRESSION VECTORS CONTAINING ACCESSORY MOLECULE LIGAND GENES AND THEIR USE FOR IMMUNOMODULATION AND TREATMENT OF MALIGNANCIES AND AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE	,)))))	
RESPONSE TO RESTRIC	TION REQUIREMENT	
Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231		
Sir or Madam:		
In response to the Office Action mail	ed September 28, 1998, Applicants pro	visionally
elect with traverse the claims of group I, and further	elect the CD40-ligand species.	
The Restriction Requirement:		
The Examiner has grouped the pendin	g claims according to the following:	
CERTIFICATE O	DE MAII ING	
(37 C.F.R.		
I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as be United States Postal Service on the date shown below with suf- addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washing	icient postage as First Class Mail in an envelor	th the
	Jeanette M. Olivera	
	Name of Person Mailing Paper	_
November 30, 1998	South M. Olivin	
Date of Deposit	Signature of Person Mailing Paper	

- I. Claims 1-10, 67 and 83, drawn to methods of altering the immunoreactivity of human cells, classified in Class 435, subclass 455.
- II. Claims 11-21 and 68, drawn to methods of treating human neoplasia with transfected cells, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.1.
- III. Claim 22, drawn to methods of treating human neoplasia with transecting a tumor bed, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
- IV. Claims 23-49 and 69, drawn to gene therapy vectors, cells comprising said vectors, assified in Class 435, subclasses 252.3, 320.1, 326, 455.
- V. Claims 50-56, drawn to methods of vaccinating an animals, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.1.
- VI. Claims 57-59, 61-66, drawn to a chimeric accessory molecule ligand gene, classified in Class 536, subclass 23.1.
- VII. Claim 60, drawn to chimeric accessory molecule ligands, classified in Class 530, subclass 350.
- VIII. Claims 70-77, drawn to a method of treating rheumatoid arthritis, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
 - IX. Claims 78-82, drawn to a Fas-ligand chimeric accessory molecule, classified in Class 530, subclass 350.

The Traverse:

Applicants hereby provisionally elect the claims of Group I with traverse and elects the CD40 ligand as designated species. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider the groupings. Specifically, Applicants take issue with the Examiner's assertion that

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I-IX is not required for any other group from Groups I-IX and Groups I-IX have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject mater, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Paper 6, ¶ 6 (Emphasis added).

This statement is incorrect as demonstrated by the common search classifications and subclassifications for groups I and IV, II and V, III and VIII, and VIII and IX. Therefore, the paired groups should at least be merged accordingly. This should not present a serious burden on Examiner as, clearly, fewer than nine searches are implicated.

Furthermore, the Applicants consider the claims of groups I, II, III, IV, and VIII to be sufficiently connected in design, operation, and effect as to provide for one practical and efficient search of these groupings together. Each group concerns immunomodulation procedures. Because, as described above, group V falls into the same class and sub-classification scheme as group II, Applicants respectfully submit that a greater efficiency and practicality would be served by merging group V with groups I, II, III, IV, and VIII. That leaves groups VI, VII, and IX. As discussed above, groups VII and IX also fall into the same classification and sub-classification scheme and therefore should also be considered together. Moreover, because Applicants have elected the CD40-ligand as the designated species, and because claims10, 15, 26, 27, 39, 40, 42, 50, and 53, which fall into groupings I, II, IV, and V, are also directed to chimeric CD40-ligand genes, it is appropriate to include group VI, which is directed to chimeric accessory molecule ligand genes, together with groups I, II, III, IV, V, and VIII, thereby leaving groups VII and IX as one distinct grouping that should be considered together. Thus, a total of two groups are implicated.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that two goupings are appropriate, not nine. Those groupings are, respectively, I, II, III, IV, V, VI, and VIII as one distinct group, and VII and IX as the other. If the Examiner is not persuaded that group VI, directed to chimeric accessory molecule genes, is appropriately considered with the others, then it should be considered as its own group, thereby leaving 3 groups, not nine. At most, Applicant respectfully submits that only 5 groupings

are appropriate, given the common search classification and subclassification designations between groups I and IV, II and V, III and VIII, and VII and IX. Applicant's groupings are logically arranged to promote search efficiency and practicality, and should not be construed in any way as to preclude mutual patentability within and between those groupings.

If there is any fee due in connection with this response, please charge Deposit Account No. 12-2475 for the appropriate amount.

Respectfully submitted,

LYON & LYON LLP

Dated: November 30, 1998

By:

Jeffrey W. Guise Reg. No. 34,613

633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4700 Los Angeles, California 90071-2066 (619) 552-8400